



Project	ZigBee Alliance		
Title	RF4CE Network PICs		
Date Submitted	[June 07, 2010]		
Source	[Joseph Reddy] [Texas Instruments] [San Diego, CA]	Voice: [+1 858 638 4297] Fax: [] E-mail: [jreddy@ti.com]	
Re:	RF4CE Network Layer PICs		
Abstract	As a part of formal conformance testing, manufacturers will be asked to submit a statement of protocol conformance for their device-under-test with respect to the appropriate specification. This document is intended to provide the form of that statement of conformance for the ZigBee RF4CE network specification.		
Purpose	This document, after review by the relevant working groups, should provide a form whereby developers can proffer a statement of protocol conformance to be tested under conformance testing.		
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the ZigBee Alliance. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.		
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution will be posted in the member area of the ZigBee web site.		

**Legal
Notice**

Copyright © ZigBee Alliance, Inc. (2010). All rights Reserved. This information within this document is the property of the ZigBee Alliance and its use and disclosure are restricted.

Elements of ZigBee Alliance specifications may be subject to third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent, copyright or trademark rights (such a third party may or may not be a member of ZigBee). ZigBee is not responsible and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an “AS IS” basis and ZigBee DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO (A) ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OF THIRD PARTIES (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS INCLUDING PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK RIGHTS) OR (B) ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE OR NON-INFRINGEMENT. IN NO EVENT WILL ZIGBEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY LOSS OF PROFITS, LOSS OF BUSINESS, LOSS OF USE OF DATA, INTERRUPTION OF BUSINESS, OR FOR ANY OTHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR EXEMPLARY, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OF ANY KIND, IN CONTRACT OR IN TORT, IN CONNECTION WITH THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSS OR DAMAGE. All Company, brand and product names may be trademarks that are the sole property of their respective owners.

The above notice and this paragraph must be included on all copies of this document that are made.

ZigBee Alliance, Inc.
2694 Bishop Drive, Suite 275
San Ramon, CA 94583

References

The following standards contain provisions, which, through reference in this document, constitute provisions of this standard. All the standards listed are normative references. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below.

1.1 ZigBee Alliance documents

[R1] ZigBee RF4CE Specification, ZigBee Document Number 094945r00, March 2009.

1.2 IEEE documents

[R2] IEEE Standard for Part 15.4: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications for Low Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (LR-WPANs), 2006.

1.3 ISO documents

[R3] ISO/IEC 9646-1:1991, Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General concepts.

[R4] ISO/IEC 9646-7:1995, Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 7. Implementation conformance statements.

Change history

The following table shows the change history for this specification.

Revision 0 (June, 2010)

Table 1 – Revision change history

Revision	Version	Description
r00	-	Initial draft of document

2 Introduction

To evaluate conformance of a particular implementation, it is necessary to have a statement of which capabilities and options have been implemented for a given standard. Such a statement is called a protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS).

2.1 Scope

This document provides the protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for the ZigBee RF4CE network specifications cited in Reference [R1] in compliance with the relevant requirements, and in accordance with the relevant guidance, given in ISO/IEC 9646-7.

2.2 Purpose

The supplier of a protocol implementation claiming to conform to the [specification name] shall complete the following PICS proforma and accompany it with the information necessary to identify fully both the supplier and the implementation.

The PICS is in the form of answers to a set of questions in the PICS proforma. The questions in a proforma consist of a systematic list of protocol capabilities and options as well as their implementation requirements. The implementation requirement indicates whether implementation of a capability is mandatory, optional, or conditional depending on options selected. When a protocol implementer answers questions in a PICS proforma, they would indicate whether an item is implemented or not, and provide explanations if an item is not implemented.

3 Abbreviations and special symbols

Notations for requirement status:

M	Mandatory
O	Optional
O.n	Optional, but support of at least one of the group of options labeled O.n is required.
N/A	Not applicable
X	Prohibited
<i>Item Number:</i> <i>:Status</i>	Status is conditional on support of item number

“*Item Number*”: Conditional, status dependent upon the support marked for the “*Item Number*”.

For example, FD1: O.1 indicates that the status is optional but at least one of the features described in FD1 is required to be implemented, if this implementation is to follow the standard of which this PICS Proforma is a part.

4 Instructions for completing the PICS proforma

If a given implementation is claimed to conform to this standard, the actual PICS proforma to be filled in by a supplier shall be technically equivalent to the text of the PICS proforma in this annex, and shall preserve the numbering and naming and the ordering of the PICS proforma.

A PICS that conforms to this document shall be a conforming PICS proforma completed in accordance with the instructions for completion given in this annex.

The main part of the PICS is a fixed-format questionnaire, divided into multiple tables. Answers to the questionnaire are to be provided in the rightmost column, either by simply marking an answer to indicate a restricted choice (such as Yes or No), or by entering a value, set, or range of values.

5 Identification of the implementation

Implementation under test (IUT) identification

IUT name: RF4CE stack
IUT version:

System under test (SUT) identification

SUT name: GreenPeak GP712 / GP502 Development Kit
Software Version: v2.4
Hardware Version: v0
Operating system (optional):

Product supplier

Name: GreenPeak Technologies BV
Address: Leidseveer 10, 3511 SB Utrecht, The Netherlands
Telephone number: +31 30 262 1157
Facsimile number:
Email address: info@greenpeak.com
Additional information:

Client

Name: _____
Address: _____
Telephone number: _____
Facsimile number: _____
Email address: _____
Additional information: _____

PICS contact person

Name: Erik Janssens
Address: Spinnerijstraat 14, 9240 Zele, Belgium
Telephone number: +32 52 45 87 35
Facsimile number:
Email address: erik.janssens@greenpeak.com
Additional information:

6 Identification of the protocol

This PICS proforma applies to the ZigBee RF4CE Network Specification [R1].

7 Global statement of conformance

The implementation described in this PICS proforma meets all of the mandatory requirements of the referenced standards:

- Yes
- No

Note -- Answering 'No' indicates non-conformance to the specified protocol standard. Non-supported mandatory capabilities are to be identified in the following tables, with an explanation by the implementer explaining why the implementation is non-conforming.

The supplier will have fully complied with the requirements for a statement of conformance by completing the statement contained in this subclause. That means, by clicking the above, the statement of conformance is complete. However, the supplier may find it helpful to continue to complete the detailed tabulations in the subclauses that follow.

8 PICS proforma tables

The following tables are composed of the detailed questions to be answered, which make up the PICS proforma.

8.1 Network Device Types

Table 2: Network Device Types

Item number	Item description	Reference	Status	Support
NDT1	Is this device capable of operating in the role of an RF4CE Target?	[R1]/2.2	O.1	Yes
NDT2	Is this device capable of operating in the role of an RF4CE Controller?	[R1]/2.2	O.1	No

8.2 Physical Layer support

Table 3 – Physical Layer Support

Item number	Item description	Reference	Status	Support
PHY1	Does the device support the 2450MHz DSSS PHY employing O-QPSK modulation as defined in [R2]	[R1]/2.4.1	M	Yes
PHY2	Does the device support measurement of the RSSI (received signal strength indicator) of received packets and using that to report the LQI?	[R1]/3.5.2	M	Yes

8.3 MAC layer support

Table 4: MAC Layer Support

Item number	Item description	Reference	Status	Support
MAC1	Does the device contain a globally unique EUI-64 address assigned by IEEE?	[R1]/3.5.3	M	Yes

Item number	Item description	Reference	Status	Support
MAC2	Does the device support the 16-bit short address as defined in [R2]?	[R1]/3.5.3	M	Yes
MAC3	Does the device support the energy detect scan function as defined in [R2]?	[R1]/3.5.5.1	NDT1:M	Yes
MAC4	Does the device support the active scan function as defined in [R2]?	[R1]/3.5.5.1	NDT1:M	Yes
MAC5	Does the device support the transmission of beacon frames as defined in [R2]?	[R1]/3.5.6	NDT1:M	Yes

8.4 Network Layer support

Table 5 – Network Layer Support

Item number	Item description	Reference	Status	Support
NWK1	Does the device support standby mode of operation where the radio is operated in a duty-cycled manner?	[R1]/3.5.7	NDT1:M	Yes
NWK2	Does the device support the single channel, acknowledged unicast mode of data packet transmission?	[R1]/3.5.8.1	M	Yes
NWK3	Does the device support the multiple channel, acknowledged unicast mode of data packet transmission?	[R1]/3.5.8.1	M	Yes
NWK4	Does the device support the single channel, unacknowledged unicast mode of data packet transmission?	[R1]/3.5.8.1	M	Yes
NWK5	Does the device support the multiple channel, unacknowledged unicast mode of data packet transmission?	[R1]/3.5.8.1	M	Yes
NWK6	Does the device support the single channel, broadcast mode of data packet transmission?	[R1]/3.5.8.1	M	Yes
NWK7	Does the device support the multiple channel, broadcast mode of data packet transmission?	[R1]/3.5.8.1	M	Yes

Item number	Item description	Reference	Status	Support
NWK8	Does the device support originating the discovery procedure?	[R1]/3.5.9.1	M	Yes
NWK9	Does the device support the discovery response procedure?	[R1]/3.5.9.2	M	Yes
NWK10	Does the device support the automatic discovery response procedure?	[R1]/3.5.9.3	M	Yes
NWK11	Does the device support the pairing originator procedure?	[R1]/3.5.10.1	M	Yes
NWK12	Does the device support the pairing response procedure?	[R1]/3.5.10.2	M	Yes
NWK13	Does the device support removal of a pairing link?	[R1]/3.5.10.3	M	Yes
NWK14	Does the device support the target link key exchange procedure?	[R1]/3.5.11.1	NDT1:M	Yes
NWK15	Does the device support the pairing originator link key recovery procedure?	[R1]/3.5.11.2	M	Yes
NWK16	Does the device support applying security to outgoing network packets?	[R1]/3.5.11.3	M	Yes
NWK17	Does the device support un-securing incoming network packets?	[R1]/3.5.11.4	M	Yes
NWK18	Does the device support the evaluation of current channel conditions and change the base channel when the conditions are compromised due to interference?	[R1]/3.5.1.2	NDT1:M	Yes
NWK19	Does the device support storage of NIB attributes in non-volatile storage?	[R1]/3.5.5	M	Yes